



THE HUMANE SOCIETY
OF THE UNITED STATES

July 14, 2015

Eric L. Bernthal, Esq.
Chair of the Board

Jennifer Leaning, M.D., S.M.H.
Vice Chair

Jason Weiss
Second Vice Chair

Kathleen M. Linehan, Esq.
Board Treasurer

Wayne Pacelle
President & CEO

Michael Markarian
Chief Program & Policy Officer

Laura Maloney
Chief Operating Officer

G. Thomas Waite III
Treasurer & CFO

Andrew N. Rowan, Ph.D.
*Chief International Officer
& Chief Scientific Officer*

Roger A. Kindler
*General Counsel
Vice President & CLO*

Amy C. Rodgers
Secretary

DIRECTORS

Jeffrey J. Arciniaco
Eric L. Bernthal, Esq.
Jerry Cesak
James Costos
Anita W. Coupe, Esq.
Neil B. Fang, Esq., CPA
Jane Greenspun Gale
Cathy Kangas
Paula A. Kislak, D.V.M.
Jennifer Leaning, M.D., S.M.H.
Kathleen M. Linehan, Esq.
John Mackey
Mary I. Max
Patrick L. McDonnell
Judy Ney
Sharon Lee Patrick
Judy J. Peil
Marian G. Probst
Jonathan M. Ratner
Joshua S. Reichert, Ph.D.
Walter J. Stewart, Esq.
Andrew Weinstein
Jason Weiss
David O. Wiebers, M.D.
Lona Williams

ARS Animal Handling and Welfare Review Panel
REE Advisory Board Office
Jamie L. Whitten Building, Room 332A
1400 Independence Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20250

Sent via email to ahwrpanel@usda.gov

On behalf of The Humane Society of the United States and our members and supporters, thank you for the opportunity to submit public comment in response to the July 6 report, “Findings and recommendations on the phase two review of animal care and well-being at the agricultural research service to the REE Under Secretary.”

There has been continued public outcry and congressional attention to the findings of the January 19 investigative piece by *The New York Times* on the U.S. Meat Animal Research Center (USMARC), located in Clay Center, Nebraska. The *NY Times* based the piece on information provided by various whistleblowers as well as extensive records obtained through Freedom of Information Act requests.

We support the agency’s decision to investigate additional facilities outside of USMARC to provide further insight on animal research conducted by the Agricultural Research Service (ARS). However, the process undertaken for the review of these five facilities was far from satisfactory. The report failed to explain the methods of the review process and it is unclear how far back the panel members examined the historical records at different locations. Further, the inspections were pre-announced and anticipated following the report on USMARC, giving these facilities ample opportunity to address problems in advance. We are hopeful that the findings at USMARC prompted agency wide changes and appreciate the actions taken by these facilities to correct any and all issues related to animal welfare, but without proper oversight of ARS research, we are concerned that animal welfare improvements will be temporary and not consistent across all facilities.

While the report shows these facilities each have a stronger Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) than the one found at USMARC, it is apparent that ARS still has multiple improvements to make in regard to the review and oversight of animal research protocols, training and standards.

Problems include:

- A lack of clarification across IACUCs regarding how to respond to concerns about animal welfare
- Publically unavailable “whistleblower” policies
- Absence of an acceptable Attending Veterinarian
- Multiple deficiencies in properly constituted IACUCs
- Insufficient opportunities for continued training

We express our support of the panel recommendations laid out in the July 6 report that pertain to these significant problems. We are also pleased with the Animal Welfare Action Plan that ARS updated on June 17.

During the last public comment period, we encouraged ARS to follow the requirements of the Animal Welfare Act (AWA) and to seek Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) inspections of its facilities. The USDA, which enforces the AWA at research institutions throughout the US, should be a leader in animal welfare oversight at its own facilities regardless of the types of animals used or the purpose of the research. We were pleased to see in the USDA-ARS Animal Welfare Action Plan updated June 2015 that ARS is following AWA and Public Health Service requirements and that employees are receiving training in compliance with these standards.

We are also encouraged that ARS is registering its research facilities with APHIS and seeking inspections. APHIS should carry out rigorous, unannounced inspections of USDA facilities, and make the results public. We also urge USDA to require each facility to submit an annual report of animal research activities: transparency is vital for taxpayer funded facilities. Additionally, USDA should formally utilize the Animal Welfare Information Center (AWIC) to provide ARS staff and IACUC members with training for improved animal care and use in research. This report and the March 9 report highlight the woefully inadequate IACUCs in use at ARS facilities. AWIC workshops and training would help educate and professionalize research in alternatives and expectations of IACUC members.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment and we hope you will take further action, as requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Kathleen Conlee
Vice President, Animal Research Issues
The Humane Society of the United States